UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/586,173 | 07/17/2006 | Hirotaka Kawabata | MAT-8856US | 2828 |
| 52473 RATNERPRES | 7590 02/03/201 STIA | EXAMINER | | |
| P.O. BOX 980 | CE DA 10492 | BOBISH, CHRISTOPHER S | | |
| VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3746 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 02/03/2012 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Notice of Panel Decision |
|---------------------------------|
| from Pre-Appeal Brief |
| Review |

| Application/Control No. | Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination | |
|-------------------------|--|--|
| 10/586,173 | KAWABATA ET AL. | |
| | Art Unit | |
| CHRISTOPHER BOBISH | 3746 | |
| | - | |

| This is in response to the Pre-Appeal | Brief Request for Review filed 2 De | cember 2011. | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Improper Request – The Freason(s): | Request is improper and a conference | ce will not be held for the following | | | |
| ☐ The request does not incl | s not been filed concurrent with the F lude reasons why a review is approp is included with the Pre-Appeal Briet | oriate. | | | |
| | nse continues to run from the receipt mmunication, if no Notice of Appeal | date of the Notice of Appeal or from has been received. | | | |
| 2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable. | | | | | |
| ☐ The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-9 Claim(s) withdrawn from con | | lows: | | | |
| | conference has been held. The rejeution on the merits remains closed. | | | | |
| | conference has been held. The reject action is required by applicant at this | | | | |
| All participants: | | | | | |
| (1) <u>CHRISTOPHER BOBISH</u> . | (3) <i>SUE LAO</i> | | | | |
| (2) <u>DEVON KRAMER</u> . | (4) | | | | |
| /Christopher Bobish/ Examiner, Art Unit 3746 | /Devon C Kramer/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3746 | /Sue Lao/ Primary Examiner | | | |